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Health care organizations have been under enormous pressure for the past 8 years, and it might get worse. The Affordable Care Act (ACA) sought to alter the course of a huge ship. Soon the ship may be turning in another direction into uncertain waters. How should these organizations navigate the turbulence while maintaining the "quadruple aim" of improving people's experience with care, improving the health of the population, reducing health care cost, and fostering job satisfaction among health care workers?

A new Charter on Professionalism for Health Care Organizations may provide a touchstone.

Background

Chaos and stress have accompanied implementation of the ACA. The law aimed to transform how care is delivered and paid for, and it accelerated a movement toward value-based payments. This in turn required an overload of outcome measurements and reporting and a realignment within and across health care organizations, including expansion of accountable care organizations (ACOs). It has been a difficult transition as the medical community has tried to sort out what is worth measuring and analyzed outcomes. For example, recent evidence suggests that ACO-affiliated hospitals have not reduced racial and ethnic health disparities, but they have reduced hospital admissions from skilled nursing facilities to a greater degree than non-ACO hospitals.

This transformation was going to require time, as the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation tested approaches to reforming care delivery and payment. But a first step to repeal and possibly replace the ACA has been taken. Shortly after being inaugurated, President Donald Trump issued an executive order that directs the secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services and other leaders with responsibilities under the law to exercise all authority and discretion available to them to waive, defer, grant exemptions from, or delay the implementation of any provision or requirement of the Act that would impose a fiscal burden on any State or a cost, fee, tax, penalty, or regulatory burden on individuals, families, health care providers, health insurers, patients, recipients of healthcare services, purchasers of health insurance, or makers of medical devices, products, or medications.

It is not known what actions will result. And the uncertainty that health care organizations face is likely to affect physicians, nurses, and others who must find ways to care for patients, regardless of the chaos.

The decisions of leaders of hospitals and other health care organizations can facilitate or impede health professionals' living up to their own professions' codes of conduct. In 2002, a document called Medical Professionalism in the New Millennium: A Physician Charter was developed and published to guide physicians in holding to principles that altruistically put the patient's interests before the physician's. Viewed as medicine's contract with society, the principles were deemed necessary during an earlier time of upheaval in health care, with health care organizations pressuring physicians to compromise the patient's interests for those of the organization. There has been growing recognition that an individual clinician's behavior is intertwined with the culture, policies, and behaviors of the organizations in which they work. As a result, a case was made to develop principles of professionalism for health care organizations.

The Charter

The charter has 4 areas of focus:

Patient Partnerships. An organization demonstrates a commitment to engaging patients and families in shared decision making, addressing the health of the whole person rather than just a disease, ensuring continuity of care through coordination and collaboration within and across organizations, and measuring what matters to patients. For example, Morristown Medical Center in Morristown, New Jersey, has formed family councils to advise the organization on policies and practices that facilitate or impede engagement. Carol Jones, the chief nurse officer for the hospital, credits the council for helping to develop an open-hours visiting policy that works for patients, families, and staff.

Organizational Culture. An organization's culture is the key to professionalism. The charter calls on leaders to expect and demonstrate accountability for creating a culture of trust and empowerment among all in their organizations, including patients and families. Such a model demonstrates commitments to the well-being of all individuals, a healthy workplace, diversity and inclusivity, and teamwork. Cedars-Sinai Medical Center in Los Angeles opened relaxation...
serves. It then uses bilingual staff to educate more healthful foods in the communities it serves. For example, Montefiore Medical Center in the Bronx is gathering data on obesity and access to social services. For example, financially challenged hospitals that cannot accomplish their mission may be doing such a disservice to their communities that they should close if others can provide needed care. Hospitals and practices that encourage implicitly or explicitly unnecessary tests and procedures to generate revenues fail on all measures of legal and ethical accountability.

Here are some ways that the charter can and should be used:

• Boards of trustees and executives should review and discuss it, then consider endorsing it, making public their aspirations to live up to the principles it espouses and holding themselves accountable for aligning with them. The Foundation for Medical Excellence will bestow an annual award for the most influential change in practice that results from the use of the charter.

• Policy makers ought to use the charter to reflect on what policy changes may mean for supporting or undermining health care organizations’ professionalism. Should an organization’s “deemed status” for payment under Medicaid and Medicare be partially determined by evidence of its commitment to the charter’s principles? Similarly, the Joint Commission could examine whether its accreditation standards reflect the charter.
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